

Menon's identity and arithmetical sums representing functions of several variables

László Tóth *

Department of Mathematics, University of Pécs
Ifjúság u. 6, H-7624 Pécs, Hungary
and

Institute of Mathematics, Department of Integrative Biology
Universität für Bodenkultur, Gregor Mendel-Straße 33, A-1180 Wien, Austria

E-mail: ltoth@gamma.ttk.pte.hu

Abstract

We generalize Menon's identity by considering sums representing arithmetical functions of several variables. As an application, we give a formula for the number of cyclic subgroups of the direct product of several cyclic groups of arbitrary orders. We also point out extensions of Menon's identity in the one variable case, which seems to not appear in the literature.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25, 11A07, 20D60, 20K27

Key Words and Phrases: Menon's identity, arithmetical function of several variables, multiplicative function, Euler's function, simultaneous congruences, Cauchy-Frobenius lemma, cyclic group, cyclic subgroup

1 Introduction

Menon's identity [8] states, that for every $n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$,

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k,n)=1}}^n \gcd(k-1, n) = \phi(n)\tau(n), \quad (1)$$

where ϕ denotes Euler's function and $\tau(n)$ is the number of divisors of n .

This identity has many generalizations derived by several authors. For example, if f is an arbitrary arithmetical function, then

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k,n)=1}}^n f(\gcd(k-1, n)) = \phi(n) \sum_{d|n} \frac{(\mu * f)(d)}{\phi(d)} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (2)$$

*The author gratefully acknowledges support from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under the project Nr. P20847-N18.

where $*$ stands for the Dirichlet convolution. Formula (2) was deduced, in an equivalent form, by Kesava Menon [8, Th. 1] for f multiplicative, and by Sita Ramaiah [12, Th. 9.1] in a more general form.

Nageswara Rao [10] proved that

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_s=1 \\ \gcd(k_1, \dots, k_s, n)=1}}^n \gcd(k_1 - a_1, \dots, k_s - a_s, n)^s = \phi_s(n)\tau(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (3)$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_s, n) = 1$ and $\phi_s(n) = n^s \prod_{p|n} (1 - 1/p^s)$ is the Jordan function of order s .

Richards [11] remarked that for any polynomial g with integer coefficients,

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, n)=1}}^n \gcd(g(k), n) = \phi(n) \sum_{d|n} \eta_g(d) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (4)$$

where $\eta_g(d)$ stands for the number of solutions $x \pmod{d}$ of the congruence $g(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ such that $\gcd(x, d) = 1$. Haukkanen and Wang [7] gave a proof of formula (4) in a more general setting.

In a recent paper Sury [15] showed that

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r=1 \\ \gcd(k_1, n)=1}}^n \gcd(k_1 - 1, k_2, \dots, k_r, n) = \phi(n)\sigma_{r-1}(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (5)$$

where $\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^k$.

Further generalizations of (1) and combinations of the existing ones were given by Haukkanen [3, 4], Haukkanen and McCarthy [5], Haukkanen and Sivaramakrishnan [6], Sivaramakrishnan [13, 14] and others. See also McCarthy [9, Ch. 1,2]. All of these identities represent functions of a single variable.

Note that there are three main methods used in the literature to prove Menon-type identities, namely: (i) group-theoretic method, based on the Cauchy-Frobenius lemma, called also Burnside's lemma, concerning group actions, see [8, 11, 15]; (ii) elementary number-theoretic methods based on properties of the Dirichlet convolution and of multiplicative functions, see [8, 3, 7, 12]; (iii) number theoretic method based on finite Fourier representations and Cauchy products of r -even functions, cf. [5, 6, 9, 10].

Recall the idea of the proof of (1) based on the Cauchy-Frobenius lemma. Let G be an arbitrary group of order n and let $U_n := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq k \leq n, \gcd(k, n) = 1\}$ be the group of units (\pmod{n}) . Consider the action of the group U_n on G given by $U_n \times G \ni (k, g) \mapsto g^k$. Here two elements of G belong to the same orbit iff they generate the same cyclic subgroup. Hence the number of orbits is equal to the number of cyclic subgroups of G , notation $c(G)$. We obtain, according to the Cauchy-Frobenius lemma, that

$$c(G) = \frac{1}{\phi(n)} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, n)=1}}^n \psi(G, k), \quad (6)$$

where $\psi(G, k) := \#\{g \in G : g^k = g\}$ is the number of fixed elements of G .

If $G = C_n$ is the cyclic group of order n , then $c(G) = \tau(n)$, $\psi(G, k) = \gcd(k - 1, n)$ and (6) gives Menon's identity (1).

Now specialize (6) to the case where G is the direct product of several cyclic groups of arbitrary orders, i.e., $G = C_{m_1} \times \cdots \times C_{m_r}$, where $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$). We deduce that the number of its cyclic subgroups is

$$c(C_{m_1} \times \cdots \times C_{m_r}) = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, q)=1}}^q \gcd(k - 1, m_1) \cdots \gcd(k - 1, m_r), \quad (7)$$

where $q = m_1 \cdots m_r$.

Being motivated by this example and in order to evaluate the right hand side of (7), see Section 4, we generalize in this paper Menon's identity (1), and also (2) and (4), by considering arithmetical sums representing functions of several variables. For example, using simple number-theoretical arguments we derive the following identity:

Let $m_1, \dots, m_r, M \in \mathbb{N}$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$), $m := \text{lcm}[m_1, \dots, m_r]$, $m \mid M$ and $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\phi(M)} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, M)=1}}^M \gcd(k - a_1, m_1) \cdots \gcd(k - a_r, m_r) \\ &= \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_r|m_r} \frac{\phi(d_1) \cdots \phi(d_r)}{\phi(\text{lcm}[d_1, \dots, d_r])} \eta^{(\mathbf{a})}(d_1, \dots, d_r), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\eta^{(\mathbf{a})}(d_1, \dots, d_r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \gcd(d_i, a_i) = 1 \ (1 \leq i \leq r) \text{ and } \gcd(d_i, d_j) \mid a_i - a_j \ (1 \leq i, j \leq r), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Remark that (8) does not depend on M and it represents a multiplicative function of r variables, to be defined in Section 2. Also, each term of the sum in the right hand side of (8) is an integer, therefore the sum in the left hand side of (8) is a multiple of $\phi(M)$ for any $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $r = 2$ and $a_1 = a_2 = a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(a, m) = 1$, then (8) gives

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, M)=1}}^M \gcd(k - a, m_1) \gcd(k - a, m_2) = \phi(M) \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \phi(\gcd(d_1, d_2)). \quad (10)$$

If m_1, \dots, m_r are pairwise relatively prime, $M = m = m_1 \cdots m_r$ and $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, then (8) reduces to

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, m)=1}}^m \gcd(k - a_1, m_1) \cdots \gcd(k - a_r, m_r) = \phi(m) \tau(m_1, a_1) \cdots \tau(m_r, a_r), \quad (11)$$

where $\tau(n, a)$ denotes the number of divisors d of n such that $\gcd(d, a) = 1$. Now, if $a_1 = \dots = a_r = a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the right hand side of (11) is $\phi(m) \tau(m, a)$.

Note that the arithmetical function of several variables

$$A(m_1, \dots, m_r) := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \gcd(k, m_1) \cdots \gcd(k, m_r), \quad (12)$$

where $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m := \text{lcm}[m_1, \dots, m_r]$, as above, was considered by Deitmar, Koyama and Kurokawa [2] in case $m_j \mid m_{j+1}$ ($1 \leq j \leq r-1$) by studying analytic properties of some zeta functions of Igusa type. The function (12) was investigated in [18].

For $r=1$ and $m_1 = m$ (12) reduces to the function

$$A(m) := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \gcd(k, m) = \sum_{d|m} \frac{\phi(d)}{d}, \quad (13)$$

of which arithmetical and analytical properties were surveyed in [17].

We also generalize the function (12) and deduce certain single variable extensions of Menon's identity, which seems to not appear in the literature.

2 Preliminaries

We present in this section some basic notions and properties to be used in the paper.

We recall that an arithmetical function of r variables is a function $f : \mathbb{N}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, notation $f \in \mathcal{F}_r$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_r$, then their convolution is defined as

$$(f * g)(m_1, \dots, m_r) = \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_r|m_r} f(d_1, \dots, d_r)g(m_1/d_1, \dots, m_r/d_r). \quad (14)$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{F}_r$ is said to be multiplicative if it is nonzero and

$$f(m_1 n_1, \dots, m_r n_r) = f(m_1, \dots, m_r) f(n_1, \dots, n_r)$$

holds for any $m_1, \dots, m_r, n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(m_1 \cdots m_r, n_1 \cdots n_r) = 1$.

If f is multiplicative, then it is determined by the values $f(p^{a_1}, \dots, p^{a_r})$, where p is prime and $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. More exactly, $f(1, \dots, 1) = 1$ and for any $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f(m_1, \dots, m_r) = \prod_p f(p^{e_p(m_1)}, \dots, p^{e_p(m_r)}),$$

where $m_i = \prod_p p^{e_p(m_i)}$ are the prime power factorizations of m_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$), the products being over the primes p and all but a finite number of the exponents $e_p(m_i)$ being zero.

If $r=1$, i.e., in case of functions of a single variable we reobtain the familiar notion of multiplicativity.

For example, the functions $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \mapsto \gcd(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ and $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \mapsto \text{lcm}[m_1, \dots, m_r]$ are multiplicative for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

The convolution (14) preserves the multiplicativity of functions. This property, well-known in the one variable case, follows easily from the definitions.

The product and the quotient of (nonvanishing) multiplicative functions are multiplicative. Let $h \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}_r$ be multiplicative functions. Then the functions $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \mapsto h(m_1) \cdots h(m_r)$ and $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \mapsto h(f(m_1, \dots, m_r))$ are multiplicative. In particular, $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \mapsto h(\gcd(m_1, \dots, m_r))$ and $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \mapsto h(\text{lcm}[m_1, \dots, m_r])$ are multiplicative.

The definition and properties of multiplicativity for functions of several variables go back to the work of Vaidyanathaswamy [19].

In the one variable case $\mathbf{1}$, id , id_t and ϕ_t ($t \in \mathbb{C}$) will denote the functions given by $\mathbf{1}(n) = 1$, $\text{id}(n) = n$, $\text{id}_t(n) = n^t$ and $\phi_t(n) = n^t \prod_{p|n} (1 - 1/p^t)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), respectively.

Let $G = (g_1, \dots, g_r)$ be a system of polynomials with integer coefficients and consider the simultaneous congruences

$$g_1(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{m_1}, \dots, g_r(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{m_r}. \quad (15)$$

Let $N_G(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ denote the number of solutions $x \pmod{\text{lcm}[m_1, \dots, m_r]}$ of (15). Furthermore, let $\eta_G(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ denote the number of solutions $x \pmod{\text{lcm}[m_1, \dots, m_r]}$ of (15) such that $\gcd(x, m_1) = 1, \dots, \gcd(x, m_r) = 1$. These are other examples of multiplicative functions of several variables, properties which might be known, but we could not locate them in the literature. We give their proof in Lemma 1.

If $r = 1$, $m_1 = m$ and $g_1 = g$, then $N_G(m) := N_g(m)$ is the number of solutions $x \pmod{m}$ of the congruence $g(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, which is multiplicative as a function of a single variable. This is well-known, see e.g., [1, Th. 5.28].

Lemma 1. *For every system $G = (g_1, \dots, g_r)$ of polynomials with integer coefficients the functions $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \mapsto N_G(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ and $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \mapsto \eta_G(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ are multiplicative.*

Proof. We prove the multiplicativity of the function N_G . In case of η_G the proof is similar.

Let $m_1, \dots, m_r, n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(m_1 \cdots m_r, n_1 \cdots n_r) = 1$. Consider the simultaneous congruences (15) together with

$$g_1(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1}, \dots, g_r(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_r}, \quad (16)$$

$$g_1(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{m_1 n_1}, \dots, g_r(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{m_r n_r}. \quad (17)$$

If x is any solution of (17), then x is a solution of both (15) and (16).

Conversely, assume that x^* is a solution of (15) and x^{**} is a solution of (16). Consider the simultaneous congruences

$$x \equiv x^* \pmod{\text{lcm}[m_1, \dots, m_r]}, \quad x \equiv x^{**} \pmod{\text{lcm}[n_1, \dots, n_r]}. \quad (18)$$

Let $m := \text{lcm}[m_1, \dots, m_r]$, $n := \text{lcm}[n_1, \dots, n_r]$. By the Chinese remainder theorem (18) has a unique solution $\tilde{x} \pmod{mn}$, where $mn = \text{lcm}[m_1 n_1, \dots, m_r n_r]$. Here \tilde{x} is a solution of (17), completing the proof. \square

The following lemma is a known property, it follows easily by the inclusion-exclusion principle, cf. [1, Th. 5.32].

Lemma 2. *Let $n, d, x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d \mid n$, $1 \leq x \leq d$, $\gcd(x, d) = 1$. Then*

$$\#\{k \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq k \leq n, k \equiv x \pmod{d}, \gcd(k, n) = 1\} = \phi(n)/\phi(d).$$

3 Main results

For $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$) let $m := \text{lcm}[m_1, \dots, m_r]$ and let $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \mid M$. Let $F = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ be a system of arithmetical functions of one variable and $G = (g_1, \dots, g_r)$ be a system of polynomials with integer coefficients.

Consider the arithmetical functions of r variables

$$S_F^{(G)}(m_1, \dots, m_r) := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^M f_1(\gcd(g_1(k), m_1)) \cdots f_r(\gcd(g_r(k), m_r)), \quad (19)$$

$$R_F^{(G)}(m_1, \dots, m_r) := \frac{1}{\phi(M)} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, M)=1}}^M f_1(\gcd(g_1(k), m_1)) \cdots f_r(\gcd(g_r(k), m_r)). \quad (20)$$

Theorem 1. If F and G are arbitrary systems of arithmetical functions and polynomials with integer coefficients, respectively, then for any $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$S_F^{(G)}(m_1, \dots, m_r) = \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_r|m_r} \frac{(\mu * f_1)(d_1) \cdots (\mu * f_r)(d_r)}{\text{lcm}[d_1, \dots, d_r]} N_G(d_1, \dots, d_r), \quad (21)$$

which does not depend on M .

Proof. Writing $f_i = \mathbf{1} * (\mu * f_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_F^{(G)}(m_1, \dots, m_r) &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^M \sum_{d_1|\gcd(g_1(k), m_1)} (\mu * f_1)(d_1) \cdots \sum_{d_r|\gcd(g_r(k), m_r)} (\mu * f_r)(d_r) \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_r|m_r} (\mu * f_1)(d_1) \cdots (\mu * f_r)(d_r) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq M \\ g_1(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{d_1}, \dots, g_r(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{d_r}}} 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the inner sum is $(M/\text{lcm}[d_1, \dots, d_r])N_G(d_1, \dots, d_r)$. \square

Corollary 1. If F is a system of multiplicative arithmetical functions and G is any system of polynomials with integer coefficients, then the function $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \mapsto S_F^{(G)}(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ is multiplicative.

Proof. By Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 the function $S_F^{(G)}$ is the convolution of multiplicative functions, hence it is multiplicative. \square

For the function $A(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ given by (12) we have the next representation.

Corollary 2. ([18, Prop. 12], $f_1 = \dots = f_r = \text{id}$, $g_1(x) = \dots = g_r(x) = x$)

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^M \gcd(k, m_1) \cdots \gcd(k, m_r) = \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_r|m_r} \frac{\phi(d_1) \cdots \phi(d_r)}{\text{lcm}[d_1, \dots, d_r]}, \quad (22)$$

which is multiplicative.

For other special choices of F and G similar results can be derived if the values $N_G(d_1, \dots, d_r)$ are known, but we turn our attention to the function $R_F^{(G)}(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ defined by (20).

Theorem 2. If F and G are arbitrary systems of arithmetical functions and polynomials with integer coefficients, respectively, then for any $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$R_F^{(G)}(m_1, \dots, m_r) = \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_r|m_r} \frac{(\mu * f_1)(d_1) \cdots (\mu * f_r)(d_r)}{\phi(\text{lcm}[d_1, \dots, d_r])} \eta_G(d_1, \dots, d_r), \quad (23)$$

which does not depend on M .

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1,

$$R_F^{(G)}(m_1, \dots, m_r) = \frac{1}{\phi(M)} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, M)=1}}^M \sum_{d_1|\gcd(g_1(k), m_1)} (\mu * f_1)(d_1) \cdots \sum_{d_r|\gcd(g_r(k), m_r)} (\mu * f_r)(d_r)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\phi(M)} \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_r|m_r} (\mu * f_1)(d_1) \cdots (\mu * f_r)(d_r) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq M \\ \gcd(k, M) = 1 \\ g_1(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{d_1}, \dots, g_r(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{d_r}}} 1,$$

where the inner sum is $(\phi(M)/\phi(\text{lcm}[d_1, \dots, d_r]))\eta_G(d_1, \dots, d_r)$ by Lemma 2. \square

In the one variable case ($r = 1$) Theorem 2 is a special case of [7, Theorem], giving, with $f_1 = f$, $g_1 = g$, $m_1 = m$,

$$R_f^{(g)}(m) := \frac{1}{\phi(m)} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, m)=1}}^m f(\gcd(g(k), m)) = \sum_{d|m} \frac{(\mu * f)(d)}{\phi(d)} \eta_g(d), \quad (24)$$

and for $f = \text{id}$ this reduces to (4).

Corollary 3. Assume that $g_1 = \dots = g_r = g$ and m_1, \dots, m_r are pairwise relatively prime. Then

$$R_F^{(G)}(m_1, \dots, m_r) = R_{f_1}^{(g)}(m_1) \cdots R_{f_r}^{(g)}(m_r). \quad (25)$$

Proof. For any $d_1 | m_1, \dots, d_r | m_r$, $\eta_G(\text{lcm}[d_1, \dots, d_r]) = \eta_g(d_1 \cdots d_r) = \eta_g(d_1) \cdots \eta_g(d_r)$ and obtain from (23) that

$$R_F^{(G)}(m_1, \dots, m_r) = \sum_{d_1|m_1} \frac{(\mu * f_1)(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)} \eta_g(d_1) \cdots \sum_{d_r|m_r} \frac{(\mu * f_r)(d_r)}{\phi(d_r)} \eta_g(d_r),$$

giving (25) using the notation of (24). \square

Corollary 4. If F is a system of multiplicative arithmetical functions and G is any system of polynomials with integer coefficients, then the function $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \mapsto R_F^{(G)}(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ is multiplicative.

Proof. By Theorem 2 and Lemma 1 the function $R_F^{(G)}$ is the convolution of multiplicative functions, hence it is multiplicative. \square

In case of multiplicative functions f_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) we can assume that $m_i > 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$), since for $m_i = 1$ the corresponding factors of (20) are equal to 1.

Corollary 5. ($f_1 = \text{id}_{t_1}, \dots, f_r = \text{id}_{t_r}$)

$$\begin{aligned} R_{t_1, \dots, t_r}^{(G)}(m_1, \dots, m_r) &:= \frac{1}{\phi(M)} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, M)=1}}^M (\gcd(g_1(k), m_1))^{t_1} \cdots (\gcd(g_r(k), m_r))^{t_r} \\ &= \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_r|m_r} \frac{\phi_{t_1}(d_1) \cdots \phi_{t_r}(d_r)}{\phi(\text{lcm}[d_1, \dots, d_r])} \eta_G(d_1, \dots, d_r), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

representing a multiplicative function.

Corollary 6. ($f_1 = \dots = f_r = \text{id}$)

$$\begin{aligned} R_r^{(G)}(m_1, \dots, m_r) &:= \frac{1}{\phi(M)} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, M)=1}}^M \gcd(g_1(k), m_1) \cdots \gcd(g_r(k), m_r) \\ &= \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_r|m_r} \frac{\phi(d_1) \cdots \phi(d_r)}{\phi(\text{lcm}[d_1, \dots, d_r])} \eta_G(d_1, \dots, d_r), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

representing a (positive) integer valued multiplicative function.

Proof. The function $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \mapsto \phi(m_1) \cdots \phi(m_r) / \phi(\text{lcm}[m_1, \dots, m_r])$ is multiplicative and its values are integers, since $\phi(p^{e_1}) \cdots \phi(p^{e_r}) / \phi(\text{lcm}[p^{e_1}, \dots, p^{e_r}])$ are integers for any prime p and any $e_1, \dots, e_r \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Corollary 7. ($f_1 = \text{id}_{t_1}, \dots, f_r = \text{id}_{t_r}, g_1(x) = x - a_1, \dots, g_r(x) = x - a_r$)

For any $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{t_1, \dots, t_r}^{(\mathbf{a})}(m_1, \dots, m_r) &:= \frac{1}{\phi(M)} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, M)=1}}^M (\gcd(k - a_1, m_1))^{t_1} \cdots (\gcd(k - a_r, m_r))^{t_r} \\ &= \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_r|m_r} \frac{\phi_{t_1}(d_1) \cdots \phi_{t_r}(d_r)}{\phi(\text{lcm}[d_1, \dots, d_r])} \eta^{(\mathbf{a})}(d_1, \dots, d_r), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $\eta^{(\mathbf{a})}(d_1, \dots, d_r)$ is defined by (9).

Proof. It is well-known that for $d_1, \dots, d_r \in \mathbb{N}$ the simultaneous congruences $x \equiv a_1 \pmod{d_1}, \dots, x \equiv a_r \pmod{d_r}$ admit solutions iff $\gcd(d_i, d_j) \mid a_i - a_j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq r$) and in this case there is a unique solution $\bar{x} \pmod{\text{lcm}[d_1, \dots, d_r]}$. Here $\gcd(\bar{x}, d_1) = \gcd(a_1, d_1), \dots, \gcd(\bar{x}, d_r) = \gcd(a_r, d_r)$ and obtain for the values of $\eta^{(\mathbf{a})}(d_1, \dots, d_r)$ formula (9). \square

For $t_1 = \dots = t_r = 1$ we obtain from (28) formula (8) given in the Introduction.

Corollary 8. ($r = 2, f_1 = f_2 = \text{id}$)

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^{(G)}(m_1, m_2) &:= \frac{1}{\phi(M)} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, M)=1}}^M \gcd(g_1(k), m_1) \gcd(g_2(k), m_2) \\ &= \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \phi(\gcd(d_1, d_2)) \eta_G(d_1, d_2). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Proof. Use that $\phi(a)\phi(b) = \phi(\gcd(a, b))\phi(\text{lcm}[a, b])$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that this holds for any multiplicative function written instead of ϕ . \square

Corollary 9. ($r = 2, f_1 = f_2 = \text{id}, g_1(x) = x - a_1, g_2(x) = x - a_2, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^{(a_1, a_2)}(m_1, m_2) &:= \frac{1}{\phi(M)} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, M)=1}}^M \gcd(k - a_1, m_1) \gcd(k - a_2, m_2) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2 \\ \gcd(d_1, a_1)=1, \gcd(d_2, a_2)=1 \\ \gcd(d_1, d_2)|a_1 - a_2}} \phi(\gcd(d_1, d_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Corollary 10. ($r = 2$, $f_1 = f_2 = \text{id}$, $g_1(x) = x - a_1$, $g_2(x) = x - a_2$, $|a_1 - a_2| = 1$)

Let $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|a_1 - a_2| = 1$. Then the multiplicative function $R_2^{(a_1, a_2)}(m_1, m_2)$, given by (30) can be represented as

$$R_2^{(a_1, a_2)}(m_1, m_2) = \sum_{\substack{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2 \\ \gcd(d_1, a_1)=1, \gcd(d_2, a_2)=1 \\ \gcd(d_1, d_2)=1}} 1, \quad (31)$$

and for any prime p and any $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$R_2^{(a_1, a_2)}(p^u, p^v) = \begin{cases} u+v+1, & p \nmid a_1, p \nmid a_2, \\ u+1, & p \nmid a_1, p \mid a_2, \\ v+1, & p \mid a_1, p \nmid a_2, \\ 1, & p \mid a_1, p \mid a_2. \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

Now we deduce formula (10) given in the Introduction.

Corollary 11. ($r = 2$, $f_1 = f_2 = \text{id}$, $g_1(x) = g_2(x) = x - a$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gcd(a, m) = 1$)

If $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^{(a)}(m_1, m_2) &:= \frac{1}{\phi(M)} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, M)=1}}^M \gcd(k-a, m_1) \gcd(k-a, m_2) \\ &= \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \phi(\gcd(d_1, d_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Note that other special systems of F and G can be considered too. We give the following example.

Corollary 12. ($r = 2$, $f_1 = f_2 = \text{id}$, $g_1(x) = g_2(x) = x^2 - a$, $\gcd(a, m) = 1$) For every $m = \text{lcm}[m_1, m_2]$ odd with $\gcd(a, m) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, m)=1}}^m \gcd(k^2 - a, m_1) \gcd(k^2 - a, m_2) \\ &= \phi(m) \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \phi(\gcd(d_1, d_2)) \prod_{p|\text{lcm}[d_1, d_2]} \left(1 + \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

which is a multiple of $\phi(m)$, where $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.

In particular, for $a = 1$ and every m_1, m_2 odd,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, m)=1}}^m \gcd(k^2 - 1, m_1) \gcd(k^2 - 1, m_2) \\ &= \phi(m) \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \phi(\gcd(d_1, d_2)) 2^{\omega(\text{lcm}[d_1, d_2])}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of n .

Proof. The congruence $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^e}$ has $1 + \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ solutions $\pmod{p^e}$ for any prime $p > 2$, $p \nmid a$ and any $e \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\eta_g(n) = \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)\right)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ odd with $\gcd(n, a) = 1$. Apply Corollary 6. \square

4 The number of cyclic subgroups of the direct product of several cyclic groups

Theorem 3. Let $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$. The number of cyclic subgroups of the group $C_{m_1} \times \dots \times C_{m_r}$ is given by the formula

$$c(C_{m_1} \times \dots \times C_{m_r}) = \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_r|m_r} \frac{\phi(d_1) \cdots \phi(d_r)}{\phi(\text{lcm}[d_1, \dots, d_r])}, \quad (36)$$

representing a multiplicative function of r variables.

In particular, the number of cyclic subgroups of $C_{m_1} \times C_{m_2}$ is

$$c(C_{m_1} \times C_{m_2}) = \sum_{d_1|m_1, d_2|m_2} \phi(\gcd(d_1, d_2)), \quad (37)$$

and for any prime p and any $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ with $u \geq v$,

$$c(C_{p^u} \times C_{p^v}) = 2(1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^{v-1}) + (u - v + 1)p^v. \quad (38)$$

Proof. Formula (36) follows at once from (7), deduced in the Introduction, by applying (8) with $a_1 = \dots = a_r = 1$ and $M = m_1 \cdots m_r$. For the case $r = 2$ see Corollary 11. The values of $c(C_{p^u} \times C_{p^v})$ are easily obtained by (37). \square

Note that certain formulae for the number of cyclic subgroups of the p -group $C_{p^{\alpha_1}} \times \dots \times C_{p^{\alpha_r}}$ ($\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{N}$), including (38) were deduced in the recent paper [16, Section 4] by a different method. Formulae (36) and (37) are given, without proof, in [20] in cases $r = 3$, $m_1 = m_2 = m_3$ and $r = 2$, $m_1 = m_2$, respectively.

5 Further remarks

In case $r = 1$ formulae (21) and (23) can be used to deduce new identities, representing functions of a single variable, if the values $N_{g_1}(n)$, respectively $\eta_{g_1}(n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are known. As examples, we point out the next identities.

Corollary 13. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \gcd(k^j, n) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\phi(d) N^{(j)}(d)}{d}, \quad (39)$$

where the multiplicative function $N^{(j)}$ is given by $N^{(j)}(p^a) = p^{[(j-1)a/j]}$ for every prime power p^a ($a \in \mathbb{N}$), $[y]$ denoting the greatest integer $\leq y$.

Proof. Apply formula (21) for $r = 1$, $f_1 = \text{id}$, $g(x) = x^j$ where the number of solutions of the congruence $x^j \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a}$ is $p^{[(j-1)a/j]}$, as it can be checked easily. \square

In what follows consider formula (23) for $r = 1$, $f_1 = \text{id}$ and $g_1 = g$. Then (23) reduces to (4).

Corollary 14. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(b, n) = 1$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, n)=1}}^n \gcd(bk - a, n) = \phi(n) \tau(n, a). \quad (40)$$

Proof. Apply formula (4) in case of the linear polynomial $g(x) = bx - a$. Here $\eta_g(n) = 1$ for $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ and $\eta_g(n) = 0$ otherwise. \square

For $a = b = 1$ (40) reduces to (1).

Corollary 15. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k,n)=1}}^n \gcd(k^2 - 1, n) = \phi(n)h(n), \quad (41)$$

where

$$h(n) = \begin{cases} \tau(m^2), & n = m \text{ odd}, \\ 2\tau(m^2), & n = 2m, m \text{ odd}, \\ 4(\ell - 1)\tau(m^2), & n = 2^\ell m, \ell \geq 2, m \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

Proof. Apply formula (4) for the polynomial $g(x) = x^2 - 1$. Any solution of $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ is coprime to n , hence $\eta_g(n) = N_g(n)$. For the number $N_g(p^a)$ of solutions of $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^a}$ it is well known that $N_g(p^a) = 2$ (p odd prime, $a \in \mathbb{N}$), $N_g(2) = 1$, $N_g(4) = 2$, $N_g(2^\ell) = 4$ ($\ell \geq 3$). \square

Finally, let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Group the prime factors of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ according to the values $\gcd(p-1, j) = d$ and write $n = \prod_{d|j} n_d$, where for any $d | j$,

$$n_d = \prod_{\substack{p^k || n \\ \gcd(p-1, j) = d}} p^k.$$

Corollary 16. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ odd,

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k,n)=1}}^n \gcd(k^j - 1, n) = \phi(n) \prod_{d|j} \tau(n_d^d). \quad (43)$$

Proof. For $g(x) = x^j - 1$ we have $\eta_g(n) = N_g(n)$ with $\eta(p^a) = \gcd(j, p-1)$ for every p odd prime and $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Apply formula (4). Now, for $F(n) := \sum_{d|n} \eta_g(d)$ one has $F(p^a) = 1 + a \gcd(j, p-1) = \tau(p^{a \gcd(j, p-1)})$ for any p odd prime and $a \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Corollary 17. ($j = 6$) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ odd,

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k,n)=1}}^n \gcd(k^6 - 1, n) = \phi(n) \tau(A^6) \tau(B^2), \quad (44)$$

where A is the product, with multiplicity, of the prime factors $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ of n , and $B = n/A$.

References

- [1] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer, 1976.
- [2] A. Deitmar, S. Koyama and N. Kurokawa, Absolute zeta functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **84** (2008), 138–142.
- [3] P. Haukkanen, Menon’s identity with respect to a generalized divisibility relation, *Aequationes Math.* **70** (2005), 240–246.
- [4] P. Haukkanen, On a gcd-sum function, *Aequationes Math.* **76** (2008), 168–178.
- [5] P. Haukkanen and P. J. McCarthy, Sums of values of even functions, *Portugal. Math.* **48** (1991), 53–66.
- [6] P. Haukkanen and R. Sivaramakrishnan, On certain trigonometric sums in several variables, *Collect. Math.* **45** (1994), 245–261.
- [7] P. Haukkanen and J. Wang, A generalization of Menon’s identity with respect to a set of polynomials, *Portugal. Math.* **53** (1996), 331–337.
- [8] P. Kesava Menon, On the sum $\sum (a - 1, n)[(a, n) = 1]$, *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **29** (1965), 155–163.
- [9] P. J. McCarthy, *Introduction to Arithmetical Functions*, Universitext, Springer, 1986.
- [10] K. Nageswara Rao, On certain arithmetical sums, *Lecture Notes Math.* **251**, Springer, 1972, 181–192.
- [11] I. M. Richards, A remark on the number of cyclic subgroups of a finite group, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **91** (1984), 571–572.
- [12] V. Sita Ramaiah, Arithmetical sums in regular convolutions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **303/304** (1978), 265–283.
- [13] R. Sivaramakrishnan, A number-theoretic identity, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **21** (1974), 67–69.
- [14] R. Sivaramakrishnan, Square reduced residue systems $(\text{mod } r)$ and related arithmetical functions, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **22** (1979), 207–220.
- [15] B. Sury, Some number-theoretic identities from group actions, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) **58** (2009), 99–108.
- [16] M. Tărnăuceanu, An arithmetic method of counting the subgroups of a finite abelian group, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.)* **53(101)** (2010), 373–386.
- [17] L. Tóth, A survey of gcd-sum functions, *J. Integer Sequences* **13** (2010), Article 10.8.1, 23 pp.
- [18] L. Tóth, Some remarks on a paper of V. A. Liskovets, submitted, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.4823>.
- [19] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, The theory of multiplicative arithmetic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **33** (1931), 579–662.
- [20] The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, items A060648, A064969, <http://oeis.org/A060648>, <http://oeis.org/A064969>.